

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,651	08/28/2001	Li Li	M4065.0159/P159-B	5524
24998 75	10/23/2003		EXAM	INER
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			TRAN, BINH X	
2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	•	1765	. 19
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/939,651	LI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Binh X Tran	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) May cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.			
· —	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>92-108</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>92-108</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		٠.
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accept			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	**	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	1 priority under 35 U.S.C	5. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).	
a) ☐ All . b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	a hava haas saastisad		
1. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prionapplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) .	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	* *		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- Claims 92-108 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-15, 17, 19 of copending Application No. 09/991,982. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 3. The following table will match the claims between copending application 09/991,982 with the present invention 09/939,651

09/991,982 claims	Present application 09/939,651 claims
1	. 92
2	93
3	94
4	95
5	96
6	. 97

Art Unit: 1765

7	98
8	99
9	100
10	101
11	102
12	103
13	104
14	105
15	106
17	107
19	108

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 92-108 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,335,292 in view of Wang et al. (US 6,074,959).

Art Unit: 1765

The instant claims differ from the claims of US 6,335,292 by further specify that the oxide layer is formed over the substrate and the oxide layer is contact with the plasma etching gas. The step of forming the oxide layer over the substrate and exposing it to the plasma etching gas is very well know in the semiconductor art. In a semiconductor method, Wang discloses that the oxide layer is formed over the substrate and it is in contact with the plasma etching gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify US 6,335,292 in view of Wang by forming an oxide layer over the substrate and expose it to the plasma etching gas because the oxide layer will help to an insulating layer to protect the substrate.

6. The following table will match the claims between US 6,335,292 and present application (09/939,651).

<u>US 6,335,292 Claims</u>	Present application (09/939,651) Claims
1	92
2	93
3	94
4	95
5	96
. 6	97
7	98
8	99
9	100

Art Unit: 1765

10	101
11	102
12	103
13	104
14	105
15	106
18	107
19	108

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 92-108 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh X Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-1867. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G Norton can be reached on (703) 305-2667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1765

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Binh X. Tran

NADINE G. NORTON PRIMARY EXAMINER March